Time-Resolved Photodissociation of the Molecular Ions of Propyl Phenyl Ethers
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Abstract: The fragmentation rate of n-propyl phenyl ether molecular ions has been measured by time-resolved photodissociation (TRPD) in the region of 2 eV internal energy, giving first-order rate constants on the order of 10^4 s^-1. RRKM fitting suggests a dissociation energy barrier of 1.47 eV. Decomposition of the isopropyl isomer is at least 30 times faster in this energy region. Dissociative photoionization of isopropyl phenyl ether in a supersonic jet by laser resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) shows that hydrogen scrambling is insignificant for this isomer in the 4.5 eV energy range, in contrast to the earlier finding of extensive hydrogen randomization under those conditions for the n-propyl isomer. PhOD^+ is the only fragment detected in the TRPD or the REMPI of (CD₃)₂CHOPh. The REMPI results (guided by SCF calculations) also indicate that the two methyl groups are not equivalent in the most stable conformation of neutral isopropyl phenyl ether. A quantitative reaction coordinate is proposed for the n-propyl isomer dissociation, which postulates separate transition states for hydride migration and for proton transfer and an intermediate [iPr^+PhO] ion-neutral complex. The faster dissociation of the isopropyl isomer is not consistent with the energetics predicted by UHF calculations, and it seems likely that that dissociation proceeds via a different mechanism.

ROAr → ROAr^+ → [R^+ArO^-] → fragments (1)

n-Propyl phenyl ether (CH₃CH₂CH₂OPh=nPrOPh) represents an important example. All seven of the alkyl hydrogens become equivalent in the course of propene expulsion from its radical cation in a mass spectrometer ion source. This takes place regardless of the method by which an electron is removed from nPrOPh, be it electron impact, field ionization, or resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI). In REMPI, absorption of two ~270 nm photons yields the molecular ion, nPrOPh^+. The first photon excites the neutral to its S₁ electronic state. Absorption of the second leads to ionization. If a third photon is absorbed, the ion can acquire enough energy to decompose on the 10^-8 s time scale, principally via expulsion of propene. Observation of intramolecular hydrogen scrambling does not depend on whether the third photon is the same color as the first two, nor are there significant differences among the different conformational isomers of nPrOPh. By contrast, slow fragmentations are observed to give incomplete hydrogen scrambling for this ion, as manifested in metastable ion dissociations (10^-3 s time scale). This paper presents an experimental comparison of nPrOPh with its branched isomer iPrOPh^+. Isotopic scrambling in ionized ROPh, which takes place when R is a primary alkyl group, is scarcely observed when R is an acyclic, secondary alkyl group. The appearance energies for propene expulsion from the two isomeric propyl phenyl ether radical cations (both of which give metastable ion decompositions) have been reported to differ by nearly 0.4 eV. Those data suggest different transition states. Here we describe a time-resolved
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laser photodissociation (TRPD) study of the low-energy fragmentation kinetics of the two propyl phenyl ethers, which supports that inference. TRPD offers an accurate and convenient method for measuring dissociation rates, from which intrinsic barrier heights can be extracted. The results are interpreted with the aid of REMPI experiments and SCF calculations. We conclude that the decomposition rates of nPrOPh\(^+\) and of iPrOPh\(^+\) are limited by different kinds of barriers. The lowest-energy decomposition channel for ionized propyl phenyl ethers corresponds to loss of propene to give an ion with the elemental composition of phenol, as represented in eq 2. The product ion from nPrOPh has been described\(^{10}\) to have the structure phenol\(^+\), 1 , which can be distinguished from the isomeric 1,5-hydrogen rearrangement product, 2.\(^{10,11}\) No ion 2 has been detected from nPrOPh\(^+\), either from decompositions that take place in the ion source or among the products of metastable ion fragmentations. Fragmentation to propyl ion is also a low-energy channel, as portrayed in eq 3. In REMPI at low power densities the branching ratio for the major primary decomposition pathways (propene expulsion: propyl cation expulsion = m/z 94:m/z 43 \(\approx 15:1\)) does not depend on the temperature of the neutral precursor. A gaseous sample at 300 K gives a result very much the same as REMPI on a single conformational isomer in a supersonic free jet expansion at 30 K.\(^{7}\)

\[\text{nPrOPh}^+ \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}^* + \text{C}_3\text{H}_7^+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

For nPrOPh the thermodynamic threshold for eq 2 corresponds to absorption of two 275 nm photons, so decomposition is (in principle) possible following absorption of two 270 nm photons. However, no fragmentation is observed in REMPI mass spectra until a third photon is absorbed. This reflects the existence of a substantial kinetic shift, as is to be expected. The reported onset of metastable ion decompositions corresponding to eq 2 is 1.0 eV above the thermodynamic threshold, which includes whatever energy increment is necessary for eq 2 to be observable on the metastable time scale.

The present study has been designed to address three issues. The first is to provide a measurement of the barrier to eq 2 by monitoring decomposition rate as a function of ion internal energy. The second issue is the comparison of iPrOPh with nPrOPh. From its REMPI excitation spectrum and SCF calculations we infer that the two methyl groups are not equivalent in the most stable conformation of neutral iPrOPh.

Photodissociation of Ions of Propyl Phenyl Ethers


frequencies were computed numerically using central differences and are reported without use of any correction factor. For the C3 conformation of neutral iPrOPh the Hessian matrix was also calculated analytically using GAUSSIAN 92 to make sure that the normal modes do not depend on the computational method. Effects of isotopic substitution were calculated as previously described.17 Large amplitude vibrations for low frequency bending modes were treated by considering them as hindered internal rotations,18 and their contributions to state densities were determined by direct count.19

Results

Propene loss represents >50% of the total ionization in 70 eV electron impact mass spectra of propyl phenyl ethers. Collisionally activated decomposition (CAD) of the M—C6H5 ion (m/z 94) from iPrOPh gives a pattern superimposable on the CAD of m/z 94 from phenetole, just as has been reported for m/z 94 from nPrOPh.10 Since m/z 94 from phenetole has the structure PhOH+ (1), we conclude that iPrOPh, like nPrOPh, does not produce 2 in appreciable amounts. Consistent with this assignment, CAD on m/z 95 from iPrOPh, yields, in the region of hydroxyl/water loss, only m/z 77 and m/z 78 fragments in a 9:1 ratio.

Time-Resolved Photodissociation (TRPD). The dissociation corresponding to eq 2 was readily observed for all the ions under photolytic conditions. No production of propyl ions (eq 3) or other fragments was detectable at the internal energies studied. However, the detection limit for minor photoproducts with m/z values much below that of the major decomposition peak was only about 5%. In the photodissociation of iPrOPh+ the 727 and 750 nm experiments yielded excellent data in appreciable amounts. Consistent with this assignment, CAD on m/z 95 from iPrOPh, yields, in the region of hydroxyl/water loss, only m/z 77 and m/z 78 fragments in a 9:1 ratio.

Three wavelengths were used for the nPrOPh experiments, giving the time-resolved data sets shown in Figure 1. In order to extract the ion dissociation rates from these plots, it is necessary to take into account the thermal distribution of parent ion internal energies and to correct for the distorting effects of the ICR detection process for fast dissociations. The procedure which we use to deconvolve the thermal distribution and the ICR detection effects has been described in considerable detail.12 The results of this procedure are the dissociation rates for ions at the three photon energies (corrected by the average thermal energy content of the ions at the cell temperature of 375 K) plotted in Figure 2.

To indicate the quality of the data analysis, the simulated TRPD curves are drawn with the data in Figure 1. It is clear that the 727 and 700 nm experiments yielded excellent data and good fits to the expected curves, giving high confidence in the rates measured at these wavelengths. By comparison the 650 nm data were badly scattered and difficult to fit. In addition, there appeared to be some two-photon dissociation at this wavelength, and it was necessary to assume a nonzero contribution of daughter ions at zero time in order to obtain even a reasonable fit. These problems are reflected in a large uncertainty assigned to this rate.

The TRPD results were dramatically different for iPrOPh ions. Ample photodissociation was observed at wavelengths of 727 and 750 nm (internal energies of 2.00 and 1.95 eV, respectively), but no time dependence of the daughter ion signal was observed.

Figure 1. Decomposition of nPrOPh+ as a function of time following a 10 ns laser pulse at time = 0 for three different wavelengths. Solid curves represent best fit simulations.
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at even the shortest delay times (2.5 μs). This indicates that the iPrOPh dissociation rates at these energies are faster than $10^3$ s$^{-1}$, taking into account the intrinsic time-resolution limitation of the TRPD experiment (primarily due, in this case, to the finite duration of the excite pulse). A similar lack of any time dependence was found for m/z 95 production from iPrOPh-d$_6$ at wavelengths of 670–750 nm. We have considered the possibility that the fast iPrOPh ion dissociation might actually be arising from two-photon dissociation. The two-photon energy of about 4 eV would undoubtedly be sufficient to give a dissociation rate greater than $10^3$ s$^{-1}$. The characteristic signature of a two-photon dissociation is quadratic dependence of the product-ion intensity as a function of light intensity (in the limit of low extent of dissociation). An intensity dependence study at 727 nm was carried out on iPrOPh-d$_6$ in order to test this possibility. The intensity dependence is linear, giving assurance that the observed photodissociation is a one-photon process and that iPrOPh ion dissociation is indeed faster than $10^3$ s$^{-1}$ at internal energies of as little as 1.95 eV. Two approaches have been put forth to extract activation parameters from rate-energy curves. One method assesses microscopic rate constants by means of RRKM theory by assigning vibrational frequencies to reactant and transition states. In the harmonic calculation (dashed line) 3N−7 harmonic frequencies were estimated for the transition state, with small variations then giving the desired fit. In the direct-count calculation (solid line) the levels of the lowest vibrational modes were generated from ab initio potentials. The estimated frequencies for the harmonic, higher energy modes were grouped, varied to achieve the best fit, and combined with the fixed levels for the low-energy modes in a direct count state. The two approaches gave the same level of agreement with the experimental points, although the direct-count procedure appears to be fundamentally more satisfactory. The best fit value for the activation energy for nPrOPh$^+$, $E_0 = 1.47 \pm 0.02$ eV, does not show significant dependence on whether one assumes a single rate-limiting step or uses a steady-state analysis of decomposition via a transient intermediate.

Resonance-Enhanced Multiphoton Ionization (REMPI). Supersonic jet/REMPI experiments were performed on isopropyl phenyl ethers for two purposes. One was to test whether absorption of two photons by the lowest vibrational level of $S_1$ gives the same mass spectrum as observed by TRPD. The other was to assess whether the two methyl groups are equivalent in the favored conformation. Figure 3 reproduces the REMPI excitation spectra. The appearance of these two spectra is similar, and most of the bands in the low energy region (<350 cm$^{-1}$ from the origin band, e.g., band g) can be assigned as normal modes that involve the isopropoxy group. The prominent bands between 500 and 1000 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to vibrational modes that involve the benzene ring, based on the Raman spectra of iPrOPh and iPrOPh-d$_6$ on SCF calculations, and by analogy to assignments for n-propyl phenyl ether$^*_a$ as well as for anisole, phenol, and alkyl-substituted benzenes.$^{22,23}$

The origin band of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition is observed at 36 110 cm$^{-1}$ in both $-d_0$ and $-d_6$. This is the most intense band, which suggests that there is not a large difference in equilibrium geometry between the $S_1$ state and the ground state. Another, much weaker peak at 36 225 cm$^{-1}$, shows a smaller isotopic frequency shift than the other peaks <550 cm$^{-1}$ from the origin and might conceivably be the origin of a second conformer. These are to be compared with the three intense origin bands reported for nPrOPh at 36 345, 336 362, and 36 471 cm$^{-1}$.7 Since the intensity of the putative second origin of iPrOPh is low, vibrational modes built on it cannot be easily detected.

SCF calculations argue against the presence of a detectable second conformer in a supersonic free jet expansion.

In the mass spectrum the amount of isotopic label in the phenol$^+$ fragments from REMPI on iPrOPh$-d_6$ was the same as in the TRPD experiment. At 276.94 amu (the origin band) only C$_6$H$_3$DO$^+$ (m/z 95) was detected; C$_6$H$_5$O$^+$ (m/z 94) represents <0.015 the intensity of C$_6$H$_3$DO$^+$. At higher laser powers other fragment ions are observed, but C$_6$H$_5$O$^+$ was not observed at any laser power. Thus, we conclude that the extent of hydrogen transfer from the alkyl methine carbon under TRPD or REMPI conditions is less than half of the upper bound reported for an electron-impact ion source.

Supersonic jet spectroscopy has been widely used for conformational analysis of flexible molecules. One approach has been used to scrutinize observed vibrational progressions in detail. An alternative has been to examine vibrational frequency shifts that accompany isotopic substitution. If the object is to distinguish two conformers, only one of which has a plane of symmetry (which is not perturbed by the isotopic substitution), then qualitative differences can be discerned in the shifts predicted ab initio. We surmise that shifts predicted for a ground electronic state should also pertain to an electronically excited state, provided the geometries of the two states do not differ too greatly from one another.

The conformations of iPrOPh have been explored by Hartree–Fock based SCF calculations. At 3-21G//3-21G, we find only two stable structures for the neutral molecule. The favored conformation is calculated to lie 0.09 eV below the lowest conformer of nPrOPh (with zero-point energies taken into consideration). The conformation with lower electronic energy has no molecular symmetry (C$_i$), with the methine carbon in the same plane as the phenoxy group, as drawn below. The other conformer has an electronic energy 0.1 eV higher and possesses a plane of symmetry (C$_s$) with the methine–oxygen bond in a plane perpendicular to the benzene ring and both methyl groups equivalent. If one transposes a methyl and the methine hydrogen within the C$_i$ conformation, optimization leads either to the C$_s$ or returns to the stable C$_i$ geometry. If one transposes a methyl and a hydrogen in the C$_s$ structure, optimization leads to the C$_i$. UHF geometry optimizations (6-31G**/6-31G**) on the radical ions show that, when ionized, the C$_i$ conformation does not retain its plane of symmetry but undergoes barrier-free internal rotation to C$_s$.

Vibrational analysis leads to the conclusion that in the gas phase the C$_i$ conformer does indeed lie lower than the C$_s$ (which calibrates the accuracy of the ab initio calculations). In our published REMPI study of nPrOPh, isotopic shifts calculated for electronic ground states were used to assign conformations, based on the vibrations observed for $S_1$. This was justified, in part by the fact that, of the two lower conformers, one has a planar skeleton and the other does not. The sign of the isotopically induced shift resulting from deuteration of sp$_2$-carbons is sensitive to the degree of planarity. We assumed this to be true of the first excited state just as it is of the ground state. In the case of isopropyl phenyl ether we focus on the band labeled d in Figure 3, which shifts to a higher frequency upon deuteration. This is as anticipated for the C$_i$ conformation, while a shift to lower frequency would have been expected for the C$_s$ conformation.

Discussion

The new results presented here give insight into the mechanisms as well as the dissociation kinetics of C$_3$H$_7$OP$^{+*}$. A fairly detailed picture of nPrOPh$^+$ dissociation will be offered, supported by computation and by the available experimental evidence. The fragmentation mechanism of iPrOPh$^+$ is clearly different from nPrOPh$^+$, but we can only give a rather speculative assessment of some mechanistic possibilities.

Dissociation Mechanism. In addition to the thermochemical and mechanistic clues from prior work on these ions and their relatives, the present results give two types of new information about the dissociations, which must be accommodated in mechanistic proposals. The TRPD results fix the rate–energy curve for nPrOPh$^+$ dissociation, giving a fairly precise extrapolated value for the barrier $E_0$ in the rate-determining step (whose accuracy appears to be limited only by the limitations of the RRKM model), and show that dissociation of iPrOPh$^+$ ions with similar internal energies (around 2 eV) is much faster. The REMPI mass spectrometric results rule out transpositions of alkyl hydrogens within dissociating iPrOPh$^+$ ions having known internal energies of around 4.5 eV. This outcome is particularly interesting in contrast to the corresponding experiments in nPrOPh$^+$, which show randomization of the alkyl hydrogens.7

Equation 4 gives a schematic representation of the mechanistic picture that we consider to accord best with the available information. Figure 4 carries this further, proposing a quantitative reaction path for dissociation of one isomer (solid line) and an upper-bound barrier for the other (dashed line). The n-propyl isomer begins its decomposition with a hydride shift within the propyl group, more or less concurrent with breaking of the $O$–$C$ bond, to give [$iPr^+$ PhO$^-$], an intermediate ion–neutral complex.
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This intermediate transfers a proton from the isopropyl cation to the oxygen, passing through a potential well, denoted [propene PhOH\(^+\)], en route to the separated products. Although the two transition states along this path both limit the net reaction rate, we conclude that the first (hydride transfer) transition state is the more severe bottleneck and can be approximately treated as the rate-determining point along the reaction coordinate.

**Thermochemical Assignments and the Potential Surfaces for Dissociation.** We start by describing the basis for assigning the energies of the various species in Figure 4. Equation 4 summarizes our understanding of the dissociation pathways for C\(_5\)H\(_7\)OPh\(^+\). We cannot rule out collapse of the noncovalent complex [iPr\(^+\) PhO\(^-\)] to the covalent structure iPrOPh\(^+\). This is represented by the dashed arrow in eq 4, but we are at present unable to assess the extent to which the decomposition occurs via that route. Figure 4, which displays a potential energy curve corresponding to eq 4, is a composite of experimental and computational results. The energies of the species along the solid curve are assigned as follows, relative to nPrOPh\(^+\) as the zero of energy.

\[ n\text{PrOPh}^+ \text{ and iPrOPh}^+ \text{ (0 and } -0.15 \text{ eV).} \]

The ionization energies of the neutrals have been reported\(^8\) and are no more than 0.1 eV apart. Because this difference is comparable to the experimental uncertainty, we use the same ionization energy for both isomers, 8.1 eV. The heat of formation of nPrOPh neutral has also been reported (−1.31 eV),\(^8\) while neutral iPrOPh is assigned as being more stable by 0.15 eV based on analogy to other ethers.\(^26\)

**Hydride Shift Transition State (1.47 eV).** This transition state for nPrOPh\(^+\) is assigned a value of \( E_0 = 1.47 \text{ eV above the nPrOPh}^+ \text{ ion based on the RRKM analysis of the TRPD results.} \)

\[ [\text{iPr}^+ \text{ PhO}] \text{ Complex (1.35 eV).} \]

The energy of this noncovalent complex is about 0.55 eV below phenoxy radical plus isopropyl cation (whose heats of formation are reported to be 0.42\(^2\) and 8.28 eV,\(^3\) respectively) based on an UHP calculation using the 6-31G** basis set.

**Proton Transfer Transition State for the [iPr\(^+\) PhO\(^-\)] Complex (1.43 eV).** The barrier to proton transfer (0.08 eV above the ion–neutral complex) was taken to be equal to the value that we have computed at 6-31G** for [iPr\(^+\) NH\(_2\)] \( \rightarrow \) NH\(^+\) and propene\(^29\) (a 0.14 eV SCF electronic energy difference minus the zero-point energy correction). That calculated transition state has an SCF electronic energy 1.0 eV higher than the [propene NH\(_2\)] complex at 6-31G**/6-31G** (a gap that is 0.05 eV greater than has been reported for a 6-31G/3-21G calculation\(^30\)).

\[ \text{[Propene HOPh}^+] \text{ complex (0.7 eV).} \]

The binding energy \( D_0 \) of this noncovalent complex (0.18 eV) was taken equal to the value we have calculated for the [NH\(_4^+\) propene] complex.\(^29\)

\[ \text{PhOH}^+ + \text{Propene (0.90 eV).} \]

The heats of formation of the dissociation products are well known, lying 0.9 eV above the nPrOPh\(^+\) reactant ion.\(^8\) Thus, the thermochemical threshold for the isopropyl isomer dissociation is 1.05 eV.

**Hydrogen Randomization.** The thermodynamic threshold for producing phenol\(^+\) and propene from iPrOPh at room temperature corresponds to the absorption of two 270 nm photons. Because the origin band of iPrOPh happens to occur at a longer wavelength, REMPI at 276.94 nm cannot produce iPrOPh\(^+\) with enough internal energy to fragment. Thus propene expulsion in the REMPI mass spectrum requires an additional photon. Absorption of the third photon by iPrOPh\(^+\) corresponds to an internal energy of the ion \( \geq 4.5 \text{ eV.} \)

It is notable that this high-energy dissociation of iPrOPh\(^+\)-\( d_3 \) proceeds without detectable transposition of isotopic label. In contrast, previous REMPI results indicate randomization of all seven side chain hydrogens in high-energy dissociations of the n-propyl isomer nPrOPh\(^+\). This is in contrast to metastable ion dissociations (2.5 eV),\(^6\) where six hydrogens randomize, with only slight participation of the seventh.

Simple, irreversible pathways must be reversible in the high-energy dissociation of nPrOPh\(^+\). If the n-propyl and isopropyl isomer dissociation pathways both proceed by completely different paths, then rearrangements within the ion–neutral complex can be invoked in the n-propyl case.

**Dissociation Mechanism for iPrOPh\(^+\).** Figure 4 does not specify the nature of the transition state for propene expulsion from iPrOPh\(^+\). The task in assigning a mechanism is to explain why the isopropyl isomer dissociates at least 50 times faster than the n-propyl isomer at internal energies around 2 eV and at the same time to explain why scrambling of all the alkyl hydrogens is observed for the n-propyl isomer, but not for the isopropyl isomer, at internal energies near 4.5 eV. In order for iPrOPh\(^+\) to decompose as fast as we observe, \( E_0 \) cannot be greater than the range 1.3–1.4 eV. For example, Figure 2 depicts the rate–energy curve (dashed line) calculated for \( E_0 = 1.33 \text{ eV,} \) which fits the slowest rates consistent with the TRPD observation at 1.95 eV. The appearance energy comparison discussed below suggests that this value for \( E_0 \) and the corresponding rate–energy curve may not be too far from correct. We conceive of two mechanistic alternatives (neither of which is positively ruled out by the experimental results), but the actual pathway will need to be clarified by additional work.

**Ion–Neutral Complex.** The n-propyl and isopropyl pathways could converge at the common structure [Pr\(^+\) PhO\(^-\)], both proceeding from this point onward through the proton-transfer transition state discussed above. The different decomposition rates would then have to be ascribed to different transition states prior to this intermediate. This suggestion has the merit of giving a smooth and reasonable looking pathway. Its drawbacks are, first, that it implies an overestimate by several tenths of an electronvolt in our calculation of the energies of noncovalent

\[ (\text{26) Based on the assumption that the difference in } \Delta H_{\text{sox}} (g) \text{ reported for n-propyl methyl ether vs isopropyl methyl ether (Domalski, E. S.; Hearing, E. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 805–1159).} \]


complexes (otherwise the predicted rate for the isopropyl case is much too low) and, second, that it requires additional complications to the mechanistic picture in order to explain the isotope-scrambling difference between the two isomers in higher-energy fragmentations.

Independent Pathway. Ionized iPrOPh could dissociate through a transition state distinct from either of the transition states represented by the solid profile in Figure 4. This transition state cannot have an energy barrier much greater than $E_0 = 1.35$ eV if it is to give a rate consistent with the TRPD results. This hypothesis has the drawback that no candidate transition state has yet been identified with such a low energy. Perhaps the decomposition occurs via "a tight four-membered ring transition state" (as suggested by Riley and Baer in their analysis of the elimination of $C_2H_4$ from ionized phenetole$^{36}$), as portrayed in eq 5. Such a suggestion invites comparison with thermal HX eliminations from neutral halopropanes ($C_3H_7X$), which are the best understood examples of reactions that pass through four-member cyclic transition states.$^{31}$ Experimentally the activation energies for iPrX are about 0.2 eV lower than for the corresponding $nPrX$.\(^{31,32}\)

\[ HOPH^{*+} \xrightarrow{[\text{transition state}]} HOP^{*+} \xrightarrow{[\text{transition state}]} HOP^{*+} \]

\((5)\)

Comparison with Appearance Energy Measurements. A quantitative test of our proposed rate–energy curves comes from electron-impact appearance energy (AE) values.$^8$ For $nPrOPh^{*+}$ the rather slow rise of the rate–energy curve in Figure 2 leads to the expectation of a substantial kinetic shift; that is, the measured appearance energy should be considerably higher than the true barrier $E_0$. For iPrOPh$^{*+}$, the kinetic shift is less certain, because the slope of the rate–energy curve is more speculative, but it should certainly be substantial in this case as well. For both isomers the dissociation mechanisms and potential surfaces proposed here (via the RRKM rates derived from them) give predictions for conventionally measured fragmentation appearance energies that accord with observation.

Blanchette et al.$^8$ reported the appearance energy for the propene-loss channel of $nPrOPh^{*+}$ to be 10.0 eV (looking at metastable ion dissociations). Using the normal criterion for the "conventional kinetic shift"$^{33}$ (namely the energy at which the dissociation rate exceeds $10^8$ s$^{-1}$), we can use the rate–energy curve of Figure 2 to predict a kinetic shift of 0.41 eV for this dissociation to give a value of $E_0$ plus kinetic shift equal to 1.88 eV. From our SCF normal mode calculations we get an average thermal internal energy for $C_3H_7OH_{P}$ of 0.17 eV at room temperature. Taking the ionization energy of $nPrOPh$ as 8.1 eV$^8$ (and assuming that the ion has the same thermal energy as its precursor neutral) we predict an appearance energy of 9.8 eV for propene expulsion. This is in agreement with the measured 10.0 eV.

Holmes et al.$^8$ report that the AE of the same fragment from iPrOPh$^{*+}$ is substantially lower than this, 9.64 eV.$^8$ The provisional rate–energy curve for this dissociation assigned in Figure 2 (which corresponds to the dashed potential energy profile in Figure 4) extrapolates to a conventional kinetic shift of 0.25 eV (i.e., $E_0$ plus kinetic shift = 1.58 eV). Using the same ionization energy as for $nPrOPh$ (and 0.17 eV of thermal energy in the ion), we predict an appearance energy for this isomer of 9.61 eV. This is in excellent agreement with the measured value.

Other Possible Mechanisms. Several mechanisms can be imagined that involve initial rearrangements of one or both isomers to a common intermediate structure, which then undergoes the rate-determining dissociation to PhOH$^{*+} + C_2H_4$. One example of such a mechanism would be the reversible, rapid interconversion of the series of distonic species portrayed in eq 6, followed by slow dissociation of the distonic ions. Such a mechanism is plausible a priori, since the heat of formation of iPrOPh$^{*+}$ is approximately 0.15 eV lower than that of $nPrOPh$.\(^{26}\) and the less stable molecular ion might rearrange to the more stable one. However the TRPD data rule out all mechanisms of this type, for such a mechanism demands that both isomers have the same rate-limiting step (propene elimination via a common distonic ion structure in the particular example of eq 6). Were this the case, then photolysis of the more stable (isopropyl) radical cation would produce a distonic intermediate with less internal energy. Therefore, if distonic ions did intervene, the isopropyl-containing precursor ion should have decomposed more slowly. Since it is the $n$-propyl-containing ions that decompose more slowly at 727 nm, no mechanism can be operating which invokes as its rate-limiting step the decomposition of a common intermediate.

\[ \text{OPh}^{*+} \rightarrow \text{HOPH}^{*+} \rightarrow \text{PhOH}^{*+} \rightarrow \text{PhH}^{*+} \rightarrow \text{PhH}^{*+} \]

\((6)\)

Conclusion

Information available regarding propene expulsion from gaseous $C_3H_7OPH^{*+}$ includes the following results from this and previous work:

(i) The barrier for $nPrOPh^{*+}$ is 0.6 eV above the thermochemical threshold. The barrier for iPrOPh$^{*+}$ is $\leq$0.3 eV above the thermochemical threshold.

(ii) Under conditions where decomposition is faster than $10^8$ s$^{-1}$ (e.g., ions from jet/REMPI), $nPrOPh^{*+}$ randomizes all of the side chain hydrogens in the course of propene expulsion. Under very similar conditions iPrOPh$^{*+}$ manifests no hydrogen exchange. Under conditions of slow fragmentation with rate $<10^5$ s$^{-1}$ (metastable ion fragmentation) there is little or no scrambling of sidechain hydrogens in iPrOPh$^{*+}$ beyond what would be expected for isomerization of the $n$-propyl to an isopropyl.

(iii) CAD on the propene expulsion fragment ions from isomeric $C_3H_7OP^{*+}$ gives all the same pattern, which implies that they all have the structure PhOH$^{*+}$.

(iv) If Hartree–Fock-based calculations are to be believed (both those presented here and elsewhere),$^{34}$ none of the stable aggregates of isopropyl cation with phenoxy radical is to be placed less than 1.5 eV above iPrOPh$^{*+}$. Such a high barrier to dissociation is hard to reconcile with the observed TRPD dissociation rate and with the observed appearance energy for the fragmenting, indicating the likelihood of a fundamentally different dissociation pathway for this isomer.

We have not been able to find a plausible intermediate on the pathway for iPrOPh$^{*+}$ dissociation for which RRKM theory predicts a rate anywhere near as large as $10^5$ s$^{-1}$. We are left with the supposition that proton transfer might occur concomitantly with bond cleavage in iPrOPh$^{*+}$. Such a mechanism would have to be qualitatively different from 4-center eliminations that have been reported in other ions, where the barrier
heights are considerably in excess of the thermodynamic threshold and large kinetic energy releases are reported.\textsuperscript{35} RRKM modeling indicates a dissociation barrier for iPrOPh'+ no greater than 1.35 eV but does not give a definite value. Supposing a fairly loose (proton-transfer) transition state and $E_0 = 1.33$ eV, we expect the iPrOPh'+ decomposition rate to move into a range measurable by TRPD ($<10^5$ s$^{-1}$) for photolysis energies just below the longest wavelength used in the present study (750 nm).

Despite nearly 3 decades of intense scrutiny alkene expulsions from molecular ions of simple alkyl phenyl ethers continue to pose a number of yet unanswered questions. We surmise that it might be possible to discern these various stages of rearrangement and hydrogen transposition by means of wavelength dependence of isotopic scrambling in future TRPD studies. We foresee that a combination of neutral product studies and TRPD experiments will enlarge our understanding and provide a paradigm for unimolecular ion decompositions.
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